

RECEIVED

EIS000157

OCT 04 1999

1 looking at only today?

2 Not only are there other ways to get rid of this
3 stuff, but maybe we don't have to do anything more than simply
4 say we refuse to take it.

5 If the stuff is good enough where it was mined
6 and it's been spent and used, why not return it to the areas
7 from which it came?

8 And lastly, I made a comment at the last meeting
9 we had, which I noticed brought chuckles to people's faces and
10 I consider a viable option.

11 If the Federal Government and the Easterners seem
12 to think that it's all so safe and all so wise, why don't they
13 bury it under Manhattan Island, New York and perhaps get rid of
14 our national crime rate.

15 Thank you, gentlemen and ladies.

16 MR. LAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Oaks.

17 MR. SKIPPER: Thank you for your comment.

18 MR. LAWSON: Miss Swartz, would you like to
19 speak again?

20 MS. SWARTZ: This is very brief.

61

RECEIVED

21 Again, my name is Ginger Swartz and I'm speaking

OCT 04 1999

22 on my own personal behalf this time.

EIS000157

1 23 [I would like to recommend that as part of the

24 impact mitigation discussion in the Final EIS, the DOE

25 cooperate with the Nevada Division of Health, the Nevada

ATLAS REPORTING SERVICES
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
(888) 4-ATLAS-1

35
2

1 Nuclear Waste Project office, the Nevada Department of
2 Environmental Protection, and Nye County is host to the
3 proposed repository and other potentially affected counties and
4 communities to facilitate a baseline radiologic health
5 assessment to be used in the future to accurately analyze
6 potential health impacts of the proposed Yucca Mountain
7 project.]

EIS000157

3